Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/650,382	SHIU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ann M McCamey	2833
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Ann M McCamey</u> .	(3)	
(2) Wei Te Chung.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>16 April 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claims 7-14 Prior art documents discussed:		
Nakagawa et al. (US 6,679,716)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Claim 7-13 to be canceled in favor of a divisional.	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate in the provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. 	on. The examiner will provide parate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appropriate)